

11th June, 2025

Scrip Code: 500013

**BSE Limited** 25th Floor, Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers Dalal Street, Mumbai - 400 001

Scrip Code: ANSALAPI National Stock Exchange of India Limited Exchange Plaza, Bandra-Kurla Complex, Bandra (East) Mumbai - 400 051

- Reg:
- Intimation for the Minutes of Thirty Eighth (38th) Meeting of the Committee of i Creditors of 'Fernhill Project' of Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Limited (Company) situated at District Gurgaon, Haryana, held on the 09th June, 2025.
- Ref:
- Intimation submitted to the stock exchanges on the 06th June, 2025 for the Thirty Eighth (38th) Meeting of the Committee of Creditors for 'Fernhill project' of the Company situated at District Gurgaon, Haryana.
- Vide Order dated the 13th January, 2023 of Hon'ble National Company Law Appellate Tribunal, New Delhi (NCLAT) - Adjudicating Authority admitting Section 7 application shall confine to 'Fernhill project' situated at District Gurgaon (Initially, APIL was admitted into Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process vide Order dated the 16th November, 2022 passed by the Hon'ble National Company Law Tribunal, New Delhi Bench, Court-II).
- Intimation dated 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2024 of Order of Hon'ble National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT), New Delhi (Court II) dated the 10<sup>th</sup> January, 2024 that Shri Ashwani Kumar Singla has been replaced with Shri Jalesh Kumar Grover.
- Regulation 30 of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, as amended.

Dear Sir/Madam.

With reference to the captioned matter and in compliance with the Regulation 30 of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, as amended, please find attached herewith the minutes of Thirty Eighth (38th) meeting of the committee of creditors (COC) of 'Fernhill Project' of Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Limited (company) situated at District Gurgaon, Haryana, held on the 09th June, 2025, attached herewith as Annexure 1.

This is for your information and records.

Thanking you.

Yours faithfully,

For Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Ltd.

Notes:

New Delhi

(Abdul Sami) Company Secretar

1) Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Limited (APIL) is undergoing Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process under Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016. It's affairs, business and assets are being managed by Interim Resolution Professional (IRP), Shri Navneet Kumar Gupta (Currently designated as Resolution Professional), appointed by Hon'ble National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT), New Delhi, Court IV, in CP No.: IB 558(ND)/2024 vide Order dated the 25th February,

- 2) The Serene Residency Group Housing Project", Sector ETA -II, Greater Noida, U.P of APIL is also managed Shri Navneet Kumar Gupta, Resolution Professional of said Project.
- 3) The Fernhill Project, Gurgaon, Haryana of APIL is managed by Shri Jalesh Kumar Grover, Resolution Professional of the said Project.

## Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Limited

115, Ansal Bhawan, 16, Kasturba Gandhi Marg, New Delhi-110 001

Tel.: 011-23353550, 011-66302268 / 69 / 70/ 72

Website: www.ansalapi.com

CIN: L45101DL1967PLC004759

Email: info@ansalapi.com | customercare@ansalapi.com

MINUTES OF THE THIRTY EIGHTH MEETING OF THE COMMITTEE OF CREDITORS ("COC") IN THE MATTER OF M/S ANSAL PROPERTIES & INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITED (FERNHILL PROJECT, GURUGRAM) HELD ON 09<sup>TH</sup> JUNE, 2025 AT 12:30 P.M. THROUGH VIRTUAL MODE

### PRESENT IN THE MEETING

## A. RESOLUTION PROFESSIONAL & TEAM

NAME	DESIGNATION	MODE OF PRESENCE	
Mr. Jalesh Kumar Grover	Resolution Professional/ Chairman	Physical	
Ms. Oshin	Team Members of RP	Physical	
Ms. Riya	Team Members of RP	Physical	
Ms. Muskaan	Team Members of RP	Physical	

## **B. FINANCIAL CREDITOR:**

SR. NO.	NAME OF FINANCIAL CREDITOR	REPRESENTED BY	MODE OF PRESENCE
1.	Authorized Representative of Home Buyers	Ms. Aakriti Sood	Audio visual
2.	Saurabh Gupta (Flat no. K/1004)	Self	Audio visual
3.	Naveen Gupta (Villa no. GH/21)	Self	Audio visual
4.	Arun Taneja (Flat no. E/0802)	Self	Audio visual
5.	S. S. Chauhan (Flat No. N/1102)	Self	Audio visual
6.	Vishwas Sharma (Flat No. A/0903)	Self	Audio visual

7.	Arvind bhatia (Flat No. G/0602)	Self	Audio visual
8.	Gaurav	Self	Audio visual
9.	Shishir Kumar (Flat No. F/1602)	Self	Audio visual
10.	Anil	Self	Audio visual
11.	Renu bala (Flat No. K-1103)	Self	Audio visual
12.	Chander Parkash (Flat No. D-0601)	Self	Audio visual
13.	Vinay Mittal (Flat No. L302)	Self	Audio visual
14.	Pushpadeep Mehta (Flat NoP1002)	Self	Audio visual
15.	Rajeev Bhatia (Flat No. 0103)	Self	Audio visual
16.	Gulshan Kumar (Flat No. 0204	Self	Audio visual
17.	Neeraj Mehta (Flat No. J/603	Self	Audio visual
18.	Saurabh Gupta – (Flat No. K/1004)	Self	Audio visual
19.	Naveen Gupta (Villa no. GH/021)	Self	Audio visual
20.	Saurabh Gandhi (Flat no. K/704)	Self	Audio visual
21.	SC Dewan (Flat No. J/701)	Self	Audio visual
22.	Pradeep Pathak (Flat No. P/702)	Self	Audio visual
23.	Neeraj Mehta (Flat No. J/603)	Self	Audio visual
24.	Shishir Kumar,	Self	Audio visual

	(Flat no. F/1602)		
25.	Chander Parkash	Self	Audio visual
	( Flat no. D/0601)		
26.	Charan Singh	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat No. M/0903)		
27.	Rakesh Prasher	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat no. M/0102)		
28.	Sachin Agrawal	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat No. K301, F1004 and		
	E802)		
29.	Narender Dogra	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat No. 0704-M-M/0402	4.7	
	& 0704-M-M/0401)		1 1
30.	Arvind Bhatia	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat no. G/0602)	1 1	
31.	Saswati Behera	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat no. M/501)	A IN	
32.	Nitin Gupta	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat No. B/1103)		
33.	Raman Kumar	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat No. G/1001)		
34.	Rajesh Kumar	Self	Audio visual
A	(Flat No. D/303)		
35.	Bibhuti	Self	Audio visual
- 3	(Flat No. D/702)		
36.	Dheeraj Arora	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat no. L/802 and K/1201)		
37.	Munna Kumar	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat No. – L/103)		
38.	Anand	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat No. C/0601)		
39.	Upender	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat No. C/0103)		

40.	Sweta Luthra	Self	Audio visual
41.	Pritam Pal	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat No. B/0301)		
42.	Aakash	Self	Audio visual
43.	Narender Kumar	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat No. – C/0601)		
44.	Padmabhushan	Self	Audio visual
45.	Yogesh	Self	Audio visual
nî d	(Flat No. C/0901)		
46.	Anita Rajpal	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat No. D/0303)		
47.	Vikash Gupta	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat No. H/701)	- N	
48.	Kunal Puri	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat No. K/0103)		
49.	Deep Kalucha	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat No. B/202)		
50.	Gopal Pathak	Self	Audio visual
51.	Hemraj Dabur	Self	Audio visual
52.	Neha	Self	Audio visual
53.	Prashant Sahu	Self	Audio visual
54.	Sushmita Mukhopadhyay	Self	Audio visual
1	(Flat No. G/0704		
55.	Aman	Self	Audio visual
	((Flat No. B/604)		
56.	Vishwas	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat NoA/903)		
57.	Dharya	Self	Audio visual
58.	Rakesh Chadha	Self	Audio visual
	(Flat No. K/0501)		
	(1 141 110. 12 0501)		

	(Flat No. J/0601)		
60.	Ravinder Kumar (Flat No. D/0904)	Self	Audio visual
61.	BL Jain (Flat No. E/0304)	Self	Audio visual
62.	RC Kochar	Self	Audio visual
63.	Sachin Punjani (Flat No. E/1203)	Self	Audio visual
64.	OP Girdhar (Flat No. A/0804)	Self	Audio visual
65.	Aman Arora (Flat No. G/1003)	Self	Audio visual
66.	Rajesh Sharma (Flat No. G/0504)	Self	Audio visual
67.	Jai Vats	Self	Audio visual
68.	Munish Abrol (Flat No. B/1101)	Self	Audio visual
69.	Anil Arya	Self	Audio visual
70.	Anurag Bhatnagar (Flat No. P/0402)	Self	Audio visual
71.	Rajni Hara (Flat No. J/0801)	Self	Audio visual
72.	Niraj Porus (Flat No. J/0804)	Self	Audio visual
73.	Nikhil Mahesh Joshi (Flat No. F/1101)	Self	Audio visual
74.	Dinesh	Self	Audio visual
75.	Sanjeev Jha (Villa No GH-011)	Self	Audio visual

## C. UNSECURED FINANCIAL CREDITOR:

S. NO.	NAME	OF	THE	UNSECURED	MODE OF PRESENCE
	FINANC	IAL CR	REDITOR		MODE OF PRESENCE

1.	Vinod Kumar and Babita Saini	Audio visual
----	------------------------------	--------------

## D. <u>OPERATIONAL CREDITORS IF AGGREGATE DUES ARE ATLEAST 10% OF THE TOTAL DEBT</u>: Not Applicable.

## E. SUSPENDED BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF ANSAL PROPERTIES & INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITED (FERNHILL PROJECT, GURUGRAM) ('CD')

NAME	DESIGNATION	MODE OF PRESENCE
Mr. Pranav Ansal	Director	Absent
	(Whole-Time Director)	
Mr. Deepak Mowar	Director	Absent
	(Additional Director)	
Mr. Binay Kumar Singh	Director	Absent
	(Additional Director)	
Ms. Francette Patricia	Director	Absent
	(Additional Director)	

### **POST NOTICE EVENT**

- 1. The notice of the 38<sup>th</sup> meeting of CoC was sent 4 days prior to the CoC meeting i.e., 05.06.2025 by electronic means at the Email id of the Authorized Representative of Home Buyers, unsecured financial creditor and Directors (Powers Suspended) of Corporate Debtor, as per the record handed over by the Erstwhile RP and obtained from Public Domain.
- 2. The Authorized Representative of Home Buyers was also informed by the team of Resolution Professional about the 38<sup>th</sup> CoC meeting telephonically to ensure receipt of notice and also took confirmation for their participation.
- 3. The notice was sent to the Directors (Powers Suspended) of corporate debtor at their email ids available on the MCA portal.
- 4. The link to attend the meeting was shared with Authorized Representative of Home Buyers, unsecured financial creditor and Directors (Powers Suspended) of Corporate Debtor along with the notice on 05.06.2025.

### **CONDUCT OF THE MEETING**

The meeting started at around 12:35 P.M. Approximately Seventy-Four (74) Homebuyers virtually joined the COC meeting, however despite multiple requests from the RP, certain homebuyers did not mention their name along with details of their respective units. Further, Ms. Aakriti Sood (Authorized Representative of Home Buyers) as well as Mr. Vinod Kumar Saini, unsecured financial creditor also participated virtually.

The RP and his team members attended the meeting physically from Chandigarh Office. The attendance of the participants who were present in the meeting was marked by the team members of RP, who attended the meeting.

Mr. Jalesh Kumar Grover, Resolution Professional of M/s Ansal Properties & Infrastructure Limited (Fernhill Project, Gurugram), for conducting its Insolvency Resolution Process took the chair and the meeting was called to order.

- 1. The Chairperson took the roll call of all the participants attending the meeting and announced their name, the name of the members of COC whom they were representing, and a confirmation was taken from every participant that they have received the agenda and notice of the meeting.
- 2. The Chairperson informed the participants that the required quorum is complete and meeting can be proceeded with and also informed the participants that the meeting shall have the presence of quorum throughout the meeting.
- 3. The Chairperson also informed the participants that as per Regulation 25(5) of IBBI (Insolvency Resolution Process of Corporate Persons) Regulations, 2016. The resolution professional shall:
  - (a.) Circulate the minutes of the meeting by electronic means to all members of the committee and the authorized representative, if any, within forty-eight hours of the conclusion of the meeting; and
  - (b.) Seek a vote of the members who did not vote at the meeting on the matters listed for voting, by electronic voting system in accordance with Regulation 26 where the voting shall be kept open from the circulation of the minutes, for such time as decided by the committee which shall not be

(c.) less than twenty-four hours and shall not exceed seven days:

*Provided* that on a request for extension made by a creditor, the voting window shall be extended in increments of twenty-four hours period:

*Provided further* that the Resolution Professional shall not extend the voting window where the matters listed for voting have already received the requisite majority vote and one extension has been given after the receipt of requisite majority vote.

(d.) As per Regulation 25 (6) of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (Insolvency Resolution Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations 2016, the Authorised Representative shall circulate the minutes of the meeting received under sub-regulation (5) to creditors in a class and announce the voting window at least twenty-four hours before the window opens for voting instructions and keep the voting window open for at least twelve hours.

### MATTERS DISCUSSED/NOTED FOR INFORMATION

### AGENDA ITEM NO. 38.01

## THE RESOLUTION PROFESSIONAL TO TAKE CHAIR OF THE MEETING AS PER REGULATION 24 OF THE IBBI (CIRP) REGULATIONS, 2016

Mr. Jalesh Kumar Grover, having registration number IBBI/IPA-001/IP-P00200/2017-2018/10390 was appointed as Resolution Professional ('RP') in the matter of M/s Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Limited (Fernhill Project, Gurugram) by the Hon'ble NCLT, New Delhi Bench, Court–II vide its order dated 10.01.2024.

In accordance with Regulation 24(1) of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (Insolvency Resolution Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations, 2016, Mr. Jalesh Kumar Grover, Resolution Professional of M/s Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Limited (Fernhill Project, Gurugram) took the Chair as Chairperson and the meeting was called to order.

The committee took note of the same.

### **AGENDA ITEM NO. 38.02**

## TO ASCERTAIN THE OUORUM OF THE MEETING AS PER REGULATION 22 OF IBBI (CIRP) REGULATIONS, 2016

The Chairman apprised the committee that as per Regulation 22(1) of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (Insolvency Resolution Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations, 2016, the quorum for the meeting of the committee of creditors is achieved if members of the committee representing at least 33% of the voting rights are present either in person or by video conferencing or other audiovisual means; provided that the committee may modify the percentage of voting rights required for quorum in respect of any future meetings of the committee.

Pursuant to the above provisions, the Chairman ascertained that the requisite quorum is present as Ms. Aakriti Sood, Authorized Representatives of the allottees as well as Mr. Vinod Kumar Saini (Unsecured Financial Creditor) having 100% voting rights in the COC, are present at the meeting and accordingly, the COC meeting was declared open.

## **AGENDA ITEM NO. 38.03**

## TO GRANT LEAVE OF ABSENCE TO THE MEMBERS, IF ANY

The Chairman apprised that no request for grant of leave has been received by the RP. Hence, no leave of absence was granted to any member/participant. The Chairman further apprised that the Directors (powers suspended) of the CD did not attend the meeting/ never attended the meeting, in spite of due service of notices to them.

The Committee took note of the same.

### **AGENDA ITEM NO. 38.04**

## TO APPROVE AND CONFIRM THE MINUTES OF THE 37<sup>TH</sup> COC MEETING HELD ON 10<sup>TH</sup> MAY, 2025 AT 12:30 PM.

The Chairman apprised the committee that the minutes of the thirty seventh COC meeting held on 10.05.2025 as approved by the RP had been circulated to all the participants electronically within 48 hours of the meeting i.e., on 12.05.2025 in accordance with Regulation 24, sub-regulation (7) of the IBBI (CIRP) Regulations, 2016. A copy of the minutes of the 37<sup>th</sup> COC meeting had already been attached with the notice of the instant meeting as Annexure-38.04.01.

The Chairman requested the committee to share their observations, if any, on the minutes of the 37<sup>th</sup> COC meeting dated 10.05.2025.

To which the Authorised representative of Homebuyers informed that a query had been raised by one of the Homebuyers. In response, the RP stated that the query may be included in the minutes, if deemed relevant.

The Committee took note of the same.

#### Post meeting event:

After going through the query, the RP is of the view that all relevant discussions have already been captured in the minutes.

### **AGENDA ITEM NO-38.05**

## TO APPRISE THE COMMITTEE REGARDING THE STATUS OF ONGOING LITIGATIONS

The Chairman apprised the COC members that during the course of the hearing dated 15.05.2025, all the matters were adjourned as the Bench was inclined to look at the application filed by the Home Buyers against Samyak Projects Pvt. Ltd. On the request of advocate of Samyak, matter was adjourned to 21.05.2025.

Further, on 21.05.2025, all the matters were simply adjourned in light of the ongoing settlement discussions with Samyak Projects Private Limited. Accordingly, the matter is now listed for hearing on 11.07.2025.

The RP informed the members that an application under Section 7 of IBC,2016 filed by the Homebuyers against Samyak Projects Limited was listed for the pronouncement of orders on 06.06.2025 whereby, the Hon'ble NCLT disposed of the said application with the direction that the land referred to in the BBA (Builder Buyer Agreement) will be part of Fernhill Project and further clarified that the Samyak will be entitled to a fair share out of the additional price if any, payable by the homebuyers. The copy of said order has now been available in public domain.

Thereafter, the RP apprised the CoC regarding the status of each ongoing litigations in the matter of M/s Ansal Properties & Infrastructure Limited (Fernhill Project, Gurugram), which are as follows:

Sr. No	Case No.	Adjudicating Authority	Description	Status
1.	IA- 2957/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by the RP U/s 66 against Piyare Lal Hari Singh Builders Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.	During the last date of hearing on 21.05.2025, the matter was simply adjourned in light of the ongoing settlement discussions with Samyak Projects Private Limited.  Accordingly, the matter is now listed for hearing on 11.07.2025.

2.	IA- 3022/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by the RP U/s 66 against Samyak Projects Pvt. Ltd	During the last date of hearing on 21.05.2025, the matter was simply adjourned in light of the ongoing settlement discussions with Samyak Projects Private Limited.  Accordingly, the matter is
				now listed for hearing on 11.07.2025.
3.	IA - 3245/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by the RP U/s 43 against Samyak Projects Pvt. Ltd	During the last date of hearing on 21.05.2025, the matter was simply adjourned in light of the ongoing settlement discussions with Samyak Projects Private Limited.
				Accordingly, the matter is now listed for hearing on 11.07.2025.
4.	IA-28/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by RP under Section 30 (6) for approval of Resolution Plan	During the last date of hearing on 21.05.2025, the matter was simply adjourned in light of the ongoing settlement discussions with Samyak Projects Private Limited.
				Accordingly, the matter is now listed for hearing on 11.07.2025.
5.	IA-3704/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by one of the claimants, Bharat Chopra seeking to condone the delay of 51 days in filing claim form (Form-CA) and to direct the respondent (RP) to accept the claim (Claim submitted after issuance of RFRP)	

6.	IA-3730/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by one of the claimants, Kuldeep Dudeja seeking to condone the delay of 5 days in filing of the claim before the Resolution Professional and set aside the intimation dated 10.06.2024 (Claim submitted after issuance of RFRP)	
7.	IA-3702/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by one of the claimants, Sunil Kumar Aggarwal seeking to condone the delay of 51 days in filing claim form (Form-CA) and to direct the respondent (RP) to accept the claim (Claim submitted after issuance of RFRP)	
8.	IA-4008/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by one of the claimants, Sunita Verma challenging the resolution plan submitted by the SRA.	
9.	IA-4056/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by one of the claimants, Mr. Rajeev Gairola seeking direction for the RP to accept the claim of the Applicants as Financial Creditor (Homebuyer) as per the FORM CA filed by the Applicants and include the names of the Applicants in the	

			list of financial creditors (Homebuyer) of the CD i.e., M/s Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Limited.	During the last date of hearing on 21.05.2025, the matter was simply adjourned in light of the ongoing settlement discussions with Samyak Projects Private Limited.
10.	IA- 4171 /2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by one of the claimants, Virender Singh seeking direction for CoC & RP to consider and admit the claim filed by the applicant	Accordingly, the matter is now listed for hearing on 11.07.2025.
11.	IA - 4252/ 2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by one of the claimants, Ms. Neerja Mehta seeking direction for RP to accept and take into account the aforesaid claim of the applicant made against the Corporate Debtor.	
12.	IA-4597/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by one of the claimants, Mrs. Pushpa Sharma seeking condonation of delay in filing of claim form beyond 90 days. Claim form submitted on 23.08.2024	
13.	IA-4995/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by one of the claimants, Mr. Vinod Kumar Saini seeking direction for RP to consider applicant as allottee and admit the claim of the applicant as financial creditor in	

			class	
14.	IA-6086/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by one of the claimants, Lt. Col Neetu seeking direction to provide the copy of Resolution Plan and addendum thereof, to condone the delay of 319 days in filing of claim (from the date of issuance of RFRP i.e.11.11.2023 to filing of claim i.e.25.09.2024), to admit the claim amounting Rs.58,64,735/-, to declare the treatment given by the SRA in resolution plan to the homebuyers whose claims received after issuance of approval of RFRP & to direct the SRA to give equal treatment to all the homebuyers.	
15.	IA - 4460/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by the Homebuyers for seeking modification of the Resolution Plan to the extent that there is no discrimination between similarly placed home buyers and reduce escalation proposed in the final resolution plan to the escalation proposed in the 19th CoC Meeting held on 06.04.2024.	During the last date of hearing on 21.05.2025, the matter was simply adjourned in light of the ongoing settlement discussions with Samyal Projects Private Limited.  Accordingly, the matter is now listed for hearing on 11.07.2025.
16.	I.A No. 1459/ 2023	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by the Erstwhile RP u/s 19(2) of the Code against Samyak	During the last date of hearing on 21.05.2025, the matter was simply adjourned in light of the ongoing settlement

			Projects Private Limited & Ansal Properties &Infrastructure Limited seeking direction to assist & co-operate with the Applicant.	discussions with Samyak Projects Private Limited.  Accordingly, the matter is now listed for hearing on 11.07.2025.
17.	IA-5173/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by the Samyak Projects Private Limited objecting to the CoC approved Resolution Plan	During the last date of hearing on 21.05.2025, the matter was simply adjourned in light of the ongoing settlement discussions with Samyak Projects Private Limited.  Accordingly, the matter is now listed for hearing on 11.07.2025.
18.	IA-5177/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by one of the respondents, Parbhu Nath Mishra in IA 2957/2024 against Resolution Professional for set aside the ex-parte proceedings against the Applicant.	During the last date of hearing on 21.05.2025, the matter was simply adjourned in light of the ongoing settlement discussions with Samyak Projects Private Limited.  Accordingly, the matter is now listed for hearing on 11.07.2025.
19.	IA-5182/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by one of the respondents, Parbhu Nath Mishra in IA 3022/2024 against Resolution Professional for exparte proceedings against the Applicant.	During the last date of hearing on 21.05.2025, the matter was simply adjourned in light of the ongoing settlement discussions with Samyak Projects Private Limited.  Accordingly, the matter is now listed for hearing on 11.07.2025.
20.	IA-5927/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application was filed by Yogesh Gauba who is impleaded as Respondent No. 7 in I.A. No. 3022/2024seeking Re	During the last date of hearing on 21.05.2025, the matter was simply adjourned in light of the ongoing settlement discussions with Samyak

			call/set-aside of the Order dated 02.09.2024 vide which Applicant was set ex parte in I.A. No. 3022 / 2024.	Projects Private Limited.  Accordingly, the matter is now listed for hearing on 11.07.2025.
21.	IA-6270/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by Mr. Lalit Bhasin; however, the copy of application has yet to be received	During the last date of hearing on 21.05.2025, the matter was simply adjourned in light of the ongoing settlement discussions with Samyak Projects Private Limited.
				Accordingly, the matter is now listed for hearing on 11.07.2025.
22.	IA-6265/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by Mr. Lalit Bhasin; however, the copy of application has yet to be received	During the last date of hearing on 21.05.2025, the matter was simply adjourned in light of the ongoing settlement discussions with Samyak Projects Private Limited.
				Accordingly, the matter is now listed for hearing on 11.07.2025.
23.	IA-6201/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application filed by the erstwhile RP Mr. Ashwani Kumar Singla seeking direction for coc to pay the amount due to the applicant before	During the last date of hearing on 21.05.2025, the matter was simply adjourned in light of the ongoing settlement discussions with Samyak Projects Private Limited.
			making payment to others.	Accordingly, the matter is now listed for hearing on 11.07.2025.
24.	IA-1352/2024	NCLT, Delhi Bench	Application under section 60(5) of the  Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016	During the last date of hearing on 21.05.2025, the matter was simply adjourned in light of the ongoing settlement discussions with Samyak Projects Private Limited.
			read with Rule 11 of the NCLT Rules, 2016	Accordingly, the matter is

			on behalf of Samyak Projects Private limited seeking permission to place a resolution plan for Consideration before the committee of creditors	
25.	CIS No. CRR- 452-2024	Court of Hon'ble Principal District and Sessions Judge, Gurugram, Haryana	Criminal Revision Petition u/s 438/440 of BNSS, 2023 on behalf of the revisionist/complainant for setting aside the impugned order dated 30.09.2024 passed by Sh. Vishal, JMFC/GGM in COM-2024	

The Committee took note of the same.

#### **AGENDA ITEM NO-38.06**

# TO APPRISE THE COC MEMBERS REGARDING STATUS OF ONGOING DISCUSSIONS WITH SAMYAK PROJECTS PRIVATE LIMITED

The Chairman apprised the Committee of Creditors that as discussed and informed during the previous CoC meeting, an amount of Rs. 37.5 Crs. has been frozen as of now, after various negotiations with the representatives and counsels of Samyak Projects Private Limited; However, the terms and conditions of the proposed Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) remain under discussion.

The RP further apprised the CoC that subsequent to the last CoC meeting, multiple meetings were convened with the selected representatives of the homebuyers and the Authorised Representative, during which their observations on the draft MoU shared by the counsel for Samyak Projects were deliberated. Thereafter, all comments and queries were communicated to and discussed with the counsel and representatives of Samyak Projects Private Limited.

On a query raised by one of the homebuyers, regarding the terms not agreed upon yet, the RP clarified that the modus of start of construction is not decided yet, term of payment is under discussions yet, security to be offered by SRA to Samyak and its valuation is not decided yet.

The RP further clarified that the settlement amount and the terms of the MoU shall not be finalized without the prior approval of the CoC.

The RP further apprised that the MOU has not yet reached a stage where it can be executed. Since the order was pronounced, there have been no further discussions with Samyak. The RP has conducted two to three internal meetings with the Committee, including one held yesterday. The consensus from these meetings is to wait for Samyak to initiate the discussion, and if these discussions prove favorable to the interests of the homebuyers, the committee will proceed with the agreement; otherwise, alternative courses of action shall be explored.

Hopefully, before the next scheduled hearing on 11.07.2025, a conclusion or confirmation will be reached, so that no further time is lost in proceeding with the approval of the resolution plan.

The Committee took note of the same.

#### **AGENDA ITEM NO-38.07**

## TO APPRISE THE COC MEMBERS REGARDING THE STATUS OF STRUCTURAL AUDIT REPORT

The Chairman apprised the Committee of Creditors that, as informed in the previous meeting, the SRA had informed the RP that the delay in submitting detailed estimate for the retrofitting expenses was due to the unavailability of the updated STED drawings, which were subsequently located on 29.04.2025. These drawings are essential for the preparation of the retrofitting estimate. Consequently, the SRA has requested an additional period of one to two weeks to thoroughly review the drawings and furnish a detailed estimate for the retrofitting works. The RP further apprised the CoC that reminder mails were sent to SRA for providing an update regarding estimate retrofitting expenses, in response to which the SRA has informed that the estimate for the retrofitting expenses is still awaited from the appointed Structural Auditor, as the auditor has quoted a significantly high fee for the same, and to facilitate the payment of a reasonable additional amount, which is necessary

for obtaining the report.

Accordingly, the SRA has requested an extension of two weeks. Hopefully, the report will be received within the next 15 days. As mentioned in the last meeting, the matter will be taken up for discussion once the report is available.

The RP apprised the CoC that as per the terms of the CoC approved Resolution Plan, the SRA had proposed an amount of Rs. 2.50 Crores towards structural audit, repairs, retrofitting/strengthening, etc. Any expenses beyond this proposed amount are to be borne by the homebuyers. Hence, it is crucial that the final retrofitting costs remain within the limits specified in the approved plan.

Furthermore, the SRA has assured that retrofitting expenses will not exceed the amount proposed under the Resolution Plan; however, this assurance has been given verbally, therefore the report of retrofitting is required on record, and a formal written commitment from the SRA shall also be taken on record once the report is received.

The Committee took note of the same.

#### **ANY OTHER MATTER:**

After discussions on the above-mentioned agendas, the Chairman invited the Homebuyers, who were attending the meeting virtually, to share their queries one by one. A brief record of the discussions held with the Homebuyers is as mentioned below:

Sr. No. Homebuyer's Queries	Responses		
1. What are the Avoidance applications and its current status?    Tomebuyer's Queries			

This avoidance is filed by whom?

aforementioned applications are decided.

And once this process is complete. Then there will be the final arguments on PUFE applications.

The RP stated that these applications are filed by the RP. There are two types of PUFE applications filed before NCLT.

What is exactly covered under avoidance application, and why we have filed it.

The RP stated that if money is taken out of the project and not used for construction, it's against the law. Funds received for the project should always prioritize construction.

When money is withdrawn and not used for construction, the RPs typically file applications for these amounts. Further, If these transactions are made within the last 2 years, these are referred to as preferential transactions or undervalued transactions, falling under Section 43 or 45 and if it is beyond 2 years, these are considered fraudulent transactions under Section 66. This implies that individuals have defrauded other creditors.

Anyone who received this money, along with the directors involved, will be included as parties in the case. These individuals whether directors or other parties will be required to return or contribute the misused funds.

	How much amount, we are seeking	The RP stated that the Preferential
	through these applications?	transactions u/s 43 covers an amount of Rs.
		0.47 Crores and the Fraudulent transactions
		u/s 66 covers Rs. 84.70 Crores.
2.	What is the current status of the	The RP stated that the last few meetings
	negotiation with Samyak, whether the	weren't productive because counsel of
	negotiation will go further or not?	Samyak, Mr. Vivek Kohli, was not present.
		However, a subsequent meeting with Mr.
		Kohli was positive. He is occupied till
		11.06.2025 after which we shall have a
		meeting together, hopeful this upcoming
		meeting will lead to progress as there is not
		a significant gap in finalizing the terms &
		condition of MOU. The RP also informed
		that both parties will need time to resolve
		ongoing litigations, and pursuing further
		litigation will not be financially beneficial
		for all.
		Since in the Hon'ble NCLT order it has
	< 4	been directed that the Samyak will be
		entitled to a fair share out of the additional
		price if any, payable by the homebuyers
		and this fair value should be determined
1		through mutual agreement. If we can't
4		resolve this amicably, the process will
		become prolonged, inconveniencing both
		parties. I'm sure we'll reach a mutually
		agreeable conclusion to this agreement.
		agreeable conclusion to this agreement.
	What is the implications & favorability of	The RP highlighted the key points from the
	decision of NCLT upon Section 7	order that favor both homebuyers and
	application filed against Samyak towards	Samyak:
	Homebuyers.	For Buyers

		be part of the Fernhill Project Additionally, it directs that if Samyal doesn't agree to the terms, the RP and th Committee of Creditors (CoC) car approach the NCLT again.  For Samyak The order stipulates that a fair amount will be paid to Samyak.  Further, all further discussions will primarily revolve around determining thi fair value.
	Can we plead before the court that the fair value shall be the value proposed by the SRA in the plan i.e. Rs. 20 Crore	The RP stated that this was already discussed in yesterday's meeting with the representatives of Homebuyers; however this process is a lengthy process as an application is required to be filed in this regard; directions will be given to Samayl to file reply wherein they will be proposing an amount higher than the approved planthen we will file our rejoinder; thereafter arguments shall happen. This will inevitably prolong the overall process. But whatever the committee shall decide, RI shall proceed accordingly.
3.	How much has the negotiation with Samyak delayed the process? Can we consider Samyak's proposed resolution plan, especially since they've already filed an application with the NCLT? It might be possible that possible their plan is more favorable for all homebuyers.	The RP stated that resolution plan of Samyak will only be discussed in the CoC meeting. if the NCLT directs the RP to present it for consideration. Otherwise, the plan will not be discussed.
4.	Can we file an application to the NCLT to	The RP stated that Samyak will object to

begin construction while negotiating with Samyak is still in process?

any construction being started at the project site until the MOU is finalized between Samyak and SRA.

Since the land is in the name of CD as per directions of NCLT, could you please seek legal advice on the same. The RP stated that the legal opinion on this shall be sought from Counsel.

5. Structural Audit report has been significantly delayed because it's taking so long determine the estimated retrofitting expenses. Is there an alternate auditor who could provide a reasonable quote and complete this process promptly?

The RP stated that the structural auditor is engaged by the SRA. They will be responsible for submitting the same. Appointing any new person at this stage will delay the process.

Given that Samyak repeatedly backtracks on finalized amounts, shall we provide a strict deadline for these negotiations? It seems a mandated timeline might be necessary. The RP stated that during the meeting held yesterday with the representatives of Homebuyers it has been decided that we shall wait till 30.06.2025, If no response is received from Samyak by then, will pursue an alternative process to address the situation.

Since the Samyak has initiated negotiations by demanding Rs. 40 Crores with the intension that the amount shall be equally paid by SRA & Samyak, can we inform in this regard to NCLT so that Court shall ask them on what ground they are demanding this amount from homebuyers.

The RP stated that this suggestion will be presented to the representatives of homebuyers for discussion in the upcoming meeting.

6.	I strongly feel that we are literally bending	The RP stated that this suggestion will be
	too much in front of Samyak since they	presented to the representatives of
	have already lost their claim as the	homebuyers for discussion in the upcoming
	landowner.	meeting.
	one more year has been passed since the	
	plan was filed before the court, so all these	
	points can be put in the court, and I am	
	sure the judge is also wanting the	
	settlement to occur. Samyak is also	
	wanting for the settlement to occur. we	
	shall not pay more than 20 Crores.	
7.	Since the excess amount has already been	The RP clarified that the amount paid to
	paid to Samyak in accordance with the	Samyak was an advance, not an excess
	PUFE application.	payment, and is intended to be utilized for
		the construction of the Project.
	Can this amount be adjusted against the	The RP further stated that the remaining
	payment due to Samayk	amount, if any, is payable to Samyak and
		interest on the advance payment can be
		recovered through the PUFE application.
8.	Have you initiate the process to calculate	The RP stated that his team is in the process
	fair value to be paid to Samyak	of calculating the actual fair amount to be
A		paid to Samyak as per the terms of original
		agreement.
9.	Is it necessary or possible to procure all	The RP stated that he and SRA are already
	the licenses before construction as the	in process of detailing these approvals and
	homebuyers want that construction shall	expected timelines. The RP does not want
	be initiated before approval of resolution	to do any illegal work and no liability
	Plan by NCLT?	should come even on home buyers.
10.	What are the merits and demerits of the	The RP stated that if any issues arise after
	early construction?	the MOU is signed, it will lead to default

	Assuming that construction has been started before the NCLT approval, after that if any default occurs at the end of Samyak or SRA and project get stuck again, whether Homebuyer's money will be refunded?	approval of NCLT, Form G will be reissued and the amounts received from homebuyers will be considered paid into the project and will not be refunded. Further, the amount paid by SRA will be forfeited, in accordance with law.  To prevent future difficulties, the MOU will include specific clauses detailing
		potential defaults and their estimated consequences.
11.	Please provide the details of extra payment burden to be put on Homebuyers beyond the amount proposed in Resolution Pian	The RP stated that there is no expected additional burden on homebuyers except ongoing negotiation amount.
12.	As per the order of NCLT the land belong to Ansal and the remaining fair amount should be paid to Samyak?	The RP stated that there is status quo on creation of third-party rights. Arbitrator is not bound by NCLT order as he was appointed by High court. The bench should
	Whether these verdicts will be considered in Arbitration or not what is the status?	have mentioned some points in this regard in the order but somehow it has been missed. If the order was to be implemented then there can be challenge but if it is mutually agreed then the proceedings before Arbitrator will be automatically settled. In no case, the matter before the Arbitrator will be decided on the basis of the orders passed by NCLT.
13.	Whether Homebuyers can challenge the stay granted in favor of Samyak and Ansal by the Arbitrator on the basis of triparty agreement in BBA?	The RP stated since now the order in Section 7 application has been pronounced, Homebuyers should wait for few days and if the settlement does not proceed, they can challenge the Arbitration.

	So, we can concurrently file the same without any delay.	The RP further stated that it is purely upon the Homebuyers and if their counsel agrees,
		they may file petition.
14.	The SRA initially promised to settle with Samyak within one or two months, but it has not happened yet. We need to pressure	The RP assured that he will take care of the same.
	the SRA and hold them accountable for resolving this matter.	
15.	On one hand PUFE has been filed and on	The RP stated that it will be mentioned in
	other hand we are talking about the	the MOU that avoidance application will be
-	payment to be made to Samyak, can this be settled at one point?	withdrawn by the RP.
16.	What is the status of plan Application	The RP stated that the said application was
	filed by Samyak?	listed before the Court; however, no notice
		has been issued. The matter is now listed for
		hearing along with the main matter on
		11.07.2025.
17.	In reference to the current verdict of	The RP clarified that we cannot dictate to
	NCLT, it must provide certain timeframe	the court what it should be or should not be
	and consequences if the terms are	mentioned in the order, as that will imply to
	violated.	contempt of court. However, filing an
		appeal against the said decision before the
- 4		Appellate Court is always an option.
18.	Judgement does not specify the amount to	The RP assured that everything will carry
	be paid i.e., 37.5cr. Further, we require all	out in accordance with the CoC approved
	the steps will take as per the plan and not	Resolution Plan and if there is any change
	backtrack.	that will be brought to CoC or it shall be by
		NCLT's directions.
19.	Based on my understanding, when a Joint	The RP stated that as discussed in the
	Development Agreement (JDA) is signed,	various meeting there are several methods
	there is typically an initial registration fee,	for dealing the title of the land.
	and then the remaining amount for the	The RP assured that once the MOU is

homebuyers,	then	why	additional	presented	to	the	CoC.	They	will	then
registration fee is required to be paid now?				collectively decide on the most reasonable						
				and manda	ited	way	to tran	sfer the	title.	

### **VOTE OF THANKS**

There being no other business to transact, the matter was concluded at 02:00 PM with the vote of thanks, by the chairman to all the participants for their effective participations.



(Jalesh Kumar Grover)

**Resolution Professional** 

In the Matter of M/s Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Limited (Project Fernhill)

Regn. No. IBBI/IPA-001/IP-P00200/2017-2018/10390

(AFA valid till 31-12-2025)

Registered Address: S.C.O No 818, 2nd Floor, N.A.C,

Manimajra, Chandigarh-160101

Email for Correspondence -cirp.fernhill@gmail.com

Email regd. with IBBI - jk.grover27@gmail.com

Mobile-+91-7717303525, +91-92160-01808

Date: 11.06.2025 Place: Chandigarh